arXiv:hep-th/0611319v2 10 Mar 2007

Nonlocal Dirac Equation for Accelerated Observers

Bahram Mashhoon

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of Missouri-Columbia

Columbia, Missouri 65211

The nonlocal theory of accelerated systems is extended to the propagation of Dirac particles. The implications of nonlocality for the phenomenon of spin-rotation coupling are discussed. The Lorentz-invariant nonlocal Dirac equation is presented for certain special classes of accelerated observers.

PACS numbers: 03.30.+p, 11.10.Lm, 04.20.Cv

Keywords: acceleration-induced nonlocality, Dirac equation

I. INTRODUCTION

The measurements of inertial observers are related to each other via Lorentz transformations. All actual observers are accelerated, however. To determine what an accelerated observer measures, a connection must be established between the accelerated observer and the class of ideal inertial observers. This is done in the standard theory of relativity via the *hypothesis of locality*, namely the assumption that at each instant along its worldline an accelerated observer is equivalent to an otherwise identical momentarily comoving inertial observer [1]. Thus an accelerated observer passes through, or could be replaced by, a continuous infinity of locally equivalent hypothetical momentarily comoving inertial observers.

An inertial observer is endowed with an orthonormal tetrad frame; therefore, it follows from the hypothesis of locality that an accelerated observer in Minkowski spacetime carries an orthonormal tetrad $\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(\alpha)}(\tau)$ along its worldline. Here τ is the proper time of the observer. The way in which the observer's tetrad frame is transported along its worldline reveals its acceleration; that is,

$$\frac{d\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(\alpha)}}{d\tau} = \Phi_{(\alpha)}{}^{(\beta)}\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(\beta)}, \tag{1}$$

where $\Phi_{(\alpha)(\beta)} = -\Phi_{(\beta)(\alpha)}$ is the antisymmetric *acceleration tensor*. We note that $\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(0)} = dx^{\mu}/d\tau$ is the unit velocity vector that defines the local temporal axis of the observer, while

${\lambda^{\mu}}_{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are the unit spacelike axes that define the observer's local spatial frame. The "electric" part of the acceleration tensor is given by $\Phi_{(0)(i)} = g_{(i)}$, where $g^{(i)}(\tau)$ is the translational acceleration of the observer with respect to the tetrad frame. Similarly, the "magnetic" part of the acceleration tensor is given by the rotation of the observer's local frame with respect to a locally nonrotating (i.e., Fermi-Walker transported) frame; that is, $\Phi_{(i)(j)} = -\epsilon_{(i)(j)(k)}\Omega^{(k)}$, where $\Omega^{(k)}(\tau)$ is the rotation frequency. Throughout this paper, the signature of the metric is -2. One can naturally define the magnitude of the translational acceleration $g(\tau) \geq 0$ by $g^2(\tau) = -g_{(i)}g^{(i)}$ and that of the rotation frequency $\Omega(\tau) \geq 0$ by $\Omega^2(\tau) = -\Omega_{(k)}\Omega^{(k)}$. It then proves useful to introduce the acceleration lengths $\mathcal{L} = c^2/g$ and c/Ω and acceleration times $\mathcal{L}/c = c/g$ and $1/\Omega$ to indicate the scales of spatial and temporal variation of the state of the observer, respectively.

The hypothesis of locality originates from the mechanics of Newtonian point particles. The state of such a particle is given by its position and velocity; therefore, an accelerated particle and the corresponding momentarily comoving inertial particle share the same state and are thus pointwise equivalent. It follows that the description of accelerated systems in Newtonian mechanics does not require any new hypothesis. This was generalized to the relativistic mechanics of point particles by Minkowski [2]. However, for radiation phenomena deviations are expected from the hypothesis of locality that would be proportional to $\lambda/\mathcal{L}$, where λ is the wavelength of the radiation.

The hypothesis of locality was introduced into the theory of relativity by Lorentz as a useful approximation, and it was later essentially adopted by Einstein [3]. As noted by Weyl [4], the hypothesis of locality amounts to an adiabatic approximation; that is, the length and time scales associated with the phenomena under observation must be negligibly small compared to the acceleration scales of the observer. In fact, if all physical phenomena could be reduced to *pointlike coincidences* of particles and rays of radiation, then the hypothesis of locality would be exactly valid. It would be interesting to go beyond the hypothesis of locality and provide a general treatment of the measurement problem for accelerated systems. This is the purpose of the nonlocal theory of accelerated observers [5], to which we now turn.

Let ψ be a basic radiation field in a global inertial frame in Minkowski spacetime. For an accelerated observer, the measured field along its worldline is $\widehat{\Psi}(\tau)$, while the field as measured by the corresponding momentarily comoving inertial observers is $\widehat{\psi}(\tau) = \Lambda(\tau)\psi(\tau)$, where Λ is a matrix representation of the Lorentz group. The hypothesis of locality implies that $\widehat{\Psi}(\tau) = \widehat{\psi}(\tau)$. On the other hand, the most general linear relationship between $\widehat{\Psi}(\tau)$ and $\widehat{\psi}(\tau)$ that is consistent with causality may be written as [6]

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\tau) = \widehat{\psi}(\tau) + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} K(\tau, \tau')\widehat{\psi}(\tau')d\tau', \tag{2}$$

where τ_0 is the initial instant at which the observer's acceleration is turned on. Equation (2) deals with observable quantities and is therefore manifestly invariant under the (global) Poincaré group; moreover, the kernel K must vanish in the absence of acceleration. The postulated nonlocality of the field determination reflected in Eq. (2) involves a weighted average over the past worldline of the accelerated observer and is thus consistent with the ideas put forward by Bohr and Rosenfeld [7].

To determine the kernel K, a new physical postulate is needed; therefore, we introduce the assumption that a basic radiation field cannot stand completely still with respect to any (accelerated) observer [6]. This is a direct generalization of a standard result of Lorentz invariance for inertial observers to all observers; furthermore, it is naturally consistent with the extension of Heisenberg's uncertainty principle to accelerated systems.

To implement this new physical postulate, we take advantage of the fact that for the Volterra integral Eq. (2) the relation between $\widehat{\Psi}$ and ψ is unique in the space of functions of physical interest in accordance with the Volterra-Tricomi theorem [8]. We therefore assume that a constant $\widehat{\Psi}$ must uniquely correspond to a constant ψ, so that for any realistic variable field ψ, $\widehat{\Psi}$ would never be a constant. In terms of Eq. (2), this means that K and Λ must be related through

$$\Lambda(\tau_0) = \Lambda(\tau) + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} K(\tau, \tau')\Lambda(\tau')d\tau'. \tag{3}$$

It turns out that this integral equation does not provide a unique solution for K in terms of a given $\Lambda(\tau)$. A detailed investigation reveals, however, that the only acceptable solution for K is given by [9, 10]

$$K(\tau, \tau') = k(\tau'), \tag{4}$$

where

$$k(\tau') = -\frac{d\Lambda(\tau')}{d\tau'}\Lambda^{-1}(\tau'). \tag{5}$$

The resulting nonlocal theory of accelerated systems is in agreement with all available observational data. In fact, the nonlocal electrodynamics of accelerated systems has been worked out in detail and the results have been indirectly tested by the agreement between the consequences of the nonlocal theory and the standard quantum mechanics of the interaction of electromagnetic radiation with rotating electrons in the correspondence limit [5]. Moreover, the nonlocal theory forbids the existence of a fundamental scalar (or pseudoscalar) radiation field [6, 11].

Finally, it is important to remark that the nonlocality discussed here is purely a vacuum effect and is not due to any limitations of measuring devices; indeed, such limitations must be separately taken into consideration.

This paper is concerned with the nonlocal aspects of Dirac's equation. This equation is discussed from the standpoint of the hypothesis of locality in the following section and the implications of nonlocality for the phenomenon of spin-rotation coupling are considered in Section III. The nonlocal Dirac equation is presented in Sections IV and V. Section VI contains a brief discussion of our results. Some mathematical results regarding the resolvent kernel are relegated to Appendix A.

II. DIRAC SPINORS FOR ACCELERATED OBSERVERS

Consider a class of fundamental inertial observers $\mathcal{F}$ at rest in a global inertial frame in Minkowski spacetime. According to such an observer at $x^\mu = (ct, x, y, z)$, the Dirac spinor $\psi(x)$ satisfies the Dirac equation

$$(i\hbar\gamma^\alpha\partial_\alpha - mc)\psi = 0, \tag{6}$$

where γ^α are the usual Dirac matrices in the standard representation [12]. Henceforth, we choose units such that $\hbar = c = 1$ in accordance with the standard convention [12]. Under a Lorentz transformation $x \to x'$, where

$$x^\alpha = L^\alpha{}_\beta x'^\beta, \tag{7}$$

the Dirac equation takes the form [12]

$$(i\gamma^\alpha\partial'_\alpha - m)\psi'(x') = 0 \tag{8}$$

such that the spinor transforms as

$$\psi'(x') = \mathcal{S}(L)\psi(x), \tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{S}(L)$ is the "spin transformation" matrix given by

$$\mathcal{S}\gamma^{\alpha}\mathcal{S}^{-1} = L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}\gamma^{\beta}. \tag{10}$$

This circumstance may be physically interpreted in terms of a different class of inertial observers $\mathcal{F}'$ that are related to the fundamental observers $\mathcal{F}$ by the Lorentz transformation (7). The form of Eq. (6) suggests that ψ may be considered a scalar under *coordinate transformations*. The resulting form-invariant Dirac equation would involve ${\gamma'}^{\alpha}$ given by

$$\gamma^{\alpha} = L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}{\gamma'}^{\beta} \tag{11}$$

and spinor $\tilde{\psi}'(x') = \psi(x)$ according to observers $\mathcal{F}'$. To simplify matters, it would be useful to demand that observers $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ use the same representation of Dirac matrices. Under a change of representation such that

$$\mathcal{S}{\gamma'}^{\alpha}\mathcal{S}^{-1} = \gamma^{\alpha}, \tag{12}$$

we have $\psi'(x') = \mathcal{S}\tilde{\psi}'(x') = \mathcal{S}\psi(x)$ and hence Eq. (9) is recovered; that is, the *spin transformation* is connected to a change of representation. Moreover, Eqs. (11) and (12) together imply Eq. (10), which holds equally well for γ^{α} and ${\gamma'}^{\alpha}$, as can be easily checked. Thus in dealing with spinors, we need to consider both coordinate and spin transformations; however, under the former, the spinors remain invariant.

In a curved spacetime manifold, the generally covariant form of the Dirac equation is given by [13]

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu} - m)\widehat{\psi}(x) = 0, \tag{13}$$

where $\nabla_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + \Gamma_{\mu}$ and Γ_{μ} is the spin connection. The spinor $\widehat{\psi}$ is determined in principle by a class of observers each with an orthonormal tetrad $\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(\alpha)}$ such that

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x)\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(\alpha)}\lambda^{\nu}{}_{(\beta)} = \eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)}, \tag{14}$$

where $\eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)}$ is the Minkowski metric tensor. In Eq. (13), γ^{μ} is given by $\gamma^{\mu} = \lambda^{\mu}{}_{(\alpha)}\gamma^{(\alpha)}$, where $\gamma^{(\alpha)}$ are the standard Dirac matrices in a local inertial frame of the (Minkowski) tangent space. Moreover,

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = -\frac{i}{4}\lambda_{\nu(\alpha)}[\lambda^{\nu}{}_{(\beta)}]_{;\mu}\, \sigma^{(\alpha)(\beta)}, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\sigma^{(\alpha)(\beta)} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^{(\alpha)}, \gamma^{(\beta)}]. \tag{16}$$

This standard approach to the generally covariant Dirac equation is based on the hypothesis of locality and provides the formalism by which a Dirac particle is minimally coupled to inertia and gravitation. To avoid confusion, the standard Dirac matrices [12] shall be henceforth denoted by $\gamma^{(\alpha)}$.

As discussed in detail in the previous section, we are interested in a class of accelerated observers $\mathcal{A}$ in Minkowski spacetime; therefore, we wish to use the covariant approach for $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, since we employ inertial Cartesian coordinates throughout this paper. It then follows from Eq. (15) that along the worldline of an accelerated observer in Minkowski spacetime

$$\Gamma_\mu \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} = \frac{i}{4}\left[\frac{d}{d\tau}\lambda^\nu{}_{(\alpha)}\right]\lambda_{\nu(\beta)}\,\sigma^{(\alpha)(\beta)}. \tag{17}$$

Equation (1) then implies that

$$\Gamma_\mu \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} = \frac{i}{4}\Phi_{(\alpha)(\beta)}\,\sigma^{(\alpha)(\beta)}. \tag{18}$$

The main purpose of this section is to determine $\widehat{\Psi}$; therefore, we must first find the connection between spinor $\widehat{\psi}$ of the locally inertial observers that are associated with $\mathcal{A}$ via the hypothesis of locality and the standard Dirac spinor ψ of the fundamental inertial observers $\mathcal{F}$. Spinor ψ satisfies the Dirac equation

$$[i\gamma^{(\alpha)}\partial_\alpha - m]\psi(x) = 0. \tag{19}$$

For $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, Eqs. (13) and (19) refer to the same system of spacetime coordinates; therefore, let us consider a spin transformation $\Lambda(x)$ such that

$$\widehat{\psi} = \Lambda\psi; \tag{20}$$

then, Eq. (19) takes the form

$$[i\gamma^\alpha(\partial_\alpha - \Lambda_{,\alpha}\Lambda^{-1}) - m]\widehat{\psi} = 0 \tag{21}$$

once we choose $\Lambda(x)$ in such a way that

$$\gamma^\alpha = \Lambda\gamma^{(\alpha)}\Lambda^{-1} = \lambda^\alpha{}_{(\beta)}\gamma^{(\beta)}. \tag{22}$$

In this case, a comparison of Eqs. (13) and (21) indicates that

$$\Gamma_\alpha = -\Lambda_{,\alpha}\Lambda^{-1}. \tag{23}$$

Thus along the worldline of an accelerated observer,

$$\Gamma_\alpha \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\tau} = -\frac{d\Lambda}{d\tau}\Lambda^{-1}. \tag{24}$$

Therefore, Eq. (5) implies that the kernel of our nonlocal ansatz is given by

$$k(\tau) = \Gamma_\alpha \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\tau}. \tag{25}$$

We finally have the result that $\widehat{\psi} = \Lambda\psi$ with

$$\Lambda(\tau) = e^{-\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} k(\tau')d\tau'}\Lambda(\tau_0), \tag{26}$$

where the kernel k is given by Eq. (18),

$$k(\tau) = \frac{i}{4}\Phi_{(\alpha)(\beta)}(\tau)\ \sigma^{(\alpha)(\beta)}, \tag{27}$$

and $\widehat{\Psi}$ is then determined by our nonlocal ansatz (2).

A remark is in order here regarding the close analogy between Λ in Eq. (22) and $\mathcal{S}$ in the corresponding Eq. (10). It is clear from this correspondence that Λ must be interpreted as a pointwise spin transformation related to a local Lorentz transformation in accordance with the hypothesis of locality. That is, $dx^\alpha = L^\alpha{}_\beta dx'^\beta$, derived from Eq. (7), is the exact analog of the pointwise Lorentz transformation to the local frame of the accelerated observer: $dx^\alpha = \lambda^\alpha{}_{(\beta)} dx^{(\beta)}$.Thus for any fixed $\tau \geq \tau_0$, Eq. (26) resembles what one would find for the spin transformation under the Lorentz group [12]; the significance of this resemblance is that it is simply the expression of the hypothesis of locality for a Dirac particle.

Now that we have general expressions for the spin transformation Λ and the kernel k, it is interesting to illustrate some of the consequences of the nonlocal theory in connection with the phenomenon of spin-rotation coupling for a Dirac particle. It should be mentioned in this connection that Dirac's equation in accelerated systems has been investigated by a number of authors (see Refs. [13]-[16] and references therein) and the inertial properties of a Dirac particle have been elucidated; for a more complete discussion of the background material and list of references, see Ref. [17]. Spin-rotation coupling and its observational aspects have been treated in Refs. [18]-[26].

III. SPIN-ROTATION COUPLING

Consider a class of observers rotating uniformly with frequency Ω about the z axis. To characterize the worldline of these observers, let us assume that for $t < 0$, a typical observer moves uniformly parallel to the y axis with $x = r$, $y = r\Omega t$ and $z = z_0$, where r, Ω and z_0 are constants with $r \geq 0$, $\Omega \geq 0$ and $-\infty < z_0 < \infty$. At $t = 0$, the observer follows a circle of radius r with $x = r\cos\phi$, $y = r\sin\phi$ and $z = z_0$, where $\phi = \Omega t = \gamma\Omega\tau$. Here γ is the observer's Lorentz factor that corresponds to $\beta = r\Omega$. The observer's orthonormal tetrad frame for $t \geq 0$ is thus given by

$$\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(0)} = \gamma(1, -\beta\sin\phi, \beta\cos\phi, 0), \tag{28}$$

$$\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(1)} = (0, \cos\phi, \sin\phi, 0), \tag{29}$$

$$\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(2)} = \gamma(\beta, -\sin\phi, \cos\phi, 0), \tag{30}$$

$$\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(3)} = (0, 0, 0, 1). \tag{31}$$

It is now possible to calculate Λ and k in this case using Eqs. (26) and (27).

The nonzero components of the acceleration tensor can be determined from the observer's centripetal acceleration $\Phi_{(0)}{}^{(1)} = -\beta\gamma^2\Omega$ and its rotational frequency $\Phi_{(1)}{}^{(2)} = \gamma^2\Omega$. Thus the kernel k, given by Eq. (27), is a constant matrix in this case given by

$$k = -\frac{i}{2}\gamma^2\Omega \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^3 & -i\beta\sigma^1 \\ -i\beta\sigma^1 & \sigma^3 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{32}$$

where σ^i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, is a Pauli matrix [12]. Using the fact that $-4k^2/(\gamma\Omega)^2$ is the identity matrix, we find that

$$e^{-k\tau} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix}, \tag{33}$$

$$A = \cos\frac{\phi}{2}\, I + i\gamma\sin\frac{\phi}{2}\, \sigma^3, \quad B = \beta\gamma\sin\frac{\phi}{2}\, \sigma^1, \tag{34}$$

where I is the 2×2 unit matrix. It remains to determine $\Lambda(\tau_0) = \Lambda_0$, which simply corresponds to a boost in the y direction with speed β, i.e.

$$\Lambda_0 = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}, \quad a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma + 1)}\, I, \quad b = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma - 1)}\, \sigma^2. \tag{35}$$

We now imagine positive-energy plane-wave solutions of the free Dirac equation propagating along the z axis with momentum p and spin parallel (ψ_+) or antiparallel (ψ_-) to the

z axis. Therefore,

$$\psi_\pm = w_\pm e^{-iEt+ipz}, \tag{36}$$

$$w_+ = N \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \rho \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad w_- = N \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -\rho \end{bmatrix}, \tag{37}$$

where $\rho = p/(m+E)$, $E = \sqrt{m^2+p^2}$ and m is the mass of the Dirac particle. Here N is a certain positive normalization factor; its exact value is unimportant for our present considerations. The Dirac spinor according to the hypothesis of locality for the uniformly rotating observer with parameters (r, Ω, z_0) is given by

$$\widehat{\psi}_\pm = \widehat{w}_\pm e^{-iE'_\pm \tau + ipz_0}, \tag{38}$$

$$\widehat{w}_+ = N' \begin{bmatrix} \gamma+1 \\ -i\beta\gamma\rho \\ (\gamma+1)\rho \\ -i\beta\gamma \end{bmatrix}, \quad \widehat{w}_- = N' \begin{bmatrix} -i\beta\gamma\rho \\ \gamma+1 \\ i\beta\gamma \\ -(\gamma+1)\rho \end{bmatrix}, \tag{39}$$

where $N' = N\sqrt{2(\gamma+1)}$ and

$$E'_\pm = \gamma \left(E \mp \frac{1}{2}\Omega \right). \tag{40}$$

Let us digress here and mention that a detailed investigation reveals that Eq. (40) is valid for negative-energy Dirac spinors as well.

Equation (40) clearly reveals the coupling of spin with rotation [14]-[26]. As discussed in detail elsewhere [18], for a positive-energy incident wave the energy as measured by an accelerated observer could be negative; nevertheless, this possibility cannot be ruled out as it is a reflection of the absolute character of the acceleration of the observer. Another possibility is that E'_+ could be zero. That is, by a mere rotation of frequency $\Omega = 2E$, the rotating observers would perceive that the incident positive-helicity wave stands completely still since $E'_+ = 0$. This consequence of the hypothesis of locality is significantly modified by the nonlocal theory of accelerated systems.

The nonlocal ansatz implies, in the general case of uniformly rotating observers under consideration here, that

$$\widehat{\Psi}_\pm = F_\pm(\tau)\widehat{\psi}_\pm \tag{41}$$

where $F_\pm$ is given by

$$F_\pm(\tau) = 1 \pm \frac{1}{2}\gamma\Omega\frac{1 - e^{iE'_\pm\tau}}{E'_\pm}. \tag{42}$$

It is interesting to note that for the observers under consideration here, Eq. (42) is of the general form [5, 11]

$${}^sF_\pm(\tau) = \frac{E \mp s\Omega e^{i\,{}^sE'_\pm\tau}}{E \mp s\Omega} \tag{43}$$

with ${}^sE'_\pm = \gamma(E \mp s\Omega)$ for $s = 1/2$. As ${}^sE'_+ \mapsto 0$, the incident wave does not stand still since ${}^sF_+ \mapsto 1 - is\gamma\Omega\tau$. This linear divergence in time, which is characteristic of resonance, can be avoided with a finite incident wave packet. Moreover, ${}^sF_- \mapsto \cos(s\gamma\Omega\tau)\exp(is\gamma\Omega\tau)$ for $E \mapsto s\Omega$. Let us note here another direct consequence of nonlocality that is evident in Eq. (43): The amplitude of a positive-helicity spinor of energy $E > s\Omega$ is enhanced by $E/(E - s\Omega)$, while that of the corresponding negative-helicity spinor is diminished by $E/(E + s\Omega)$. Thus the measured amplitude is enhanced (diminished) if the observer rotates about the direction of incidence in the same (opposite) sense as the helicity of the incident particle.

We now turn to the derivation of the nonlocal Dirac equation for these accelerated observers.

IV. SPECIAL CLASS OF ROTATING OBSERVERS

Consider the uniformly rotating observers in Sec. III that remain fixed at $r = 0$ all along the z axis. These special observers remain fixed in space and refer their measurements to the standard axes of the global inertial frame for $t < 0$, while for $t \geq 0$ they are "uniformly rotating" as they refer their measurements to uniformly rotating axes. Suppose that at each event in a global inertial frame there is such an observer; at any given time t, the observers are identical except for the fact that each occupies a different fixed position in space. We note that this class of observers (for $t \geq 0$) is essentially the one considered in Ref. [13]. For these special observers, k and Λ can be simply obtained from Eqs. (32)-(35) with $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$.

It is a general consequence of the Volterra integral equation

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\tau) = \widehat{\psi}(\tau) + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} k(\tau')\widehat{\psi}(\tau')d\tau' \tag{44}$$

that

$$\widehat{\psi}(\tau) = \widehat{\Psi}(\tau) + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} r(\tau, \tau')\widehat{\Psi}(\tau')d\tau', \tag{45}$$

where $r(\tau, \tau')$ is the resolvent kernel discussed in detail in Ref. [27]. Let us define the nonlocal Dirac spinor Ψ along the worldlines of the accelerated observers such that

$$\widehat{\Psi} = \Lambda\Psi. \tag{46}$$

It follows that

$$\psi(\tau) = \Psi(\tau) + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \tilde{r}(\tau, \tau')\Psi(\tau')d\tau', \tag{47}$$

where $\tilde{r}$ is related to the resolvent kernel by

$$\tilde{r}(\tau, \tau') = \Lambda^{-1}(\tau)r(\tau, \tau')\Lambda(\tau'). \tag{48}$$

The Lorentz-invariant nonlocal Dirac equation can be derived using Eq. (47), since $\psi(x)$ satisfies Dirac's equation. The general nonlocal ansatz is manifestly invariant under Poincaré transformations of the background spacetime; therefore, the resulting nonlocal wave equation for Ψ has the same symmetry.

For the special class of uniformly rotating observers, the results of Appendix A indicate that $\tilde{r} = -k$. Thus Eq. (47) may be written for the observer fixed at $\mathbf{x}$ as

$$\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \Psi(t, \mathbf{x}) - u(t)k \int_0^t \Psi(t', \mathbf{x})dt', \tag{49}$$

where $k = -(i\Omega/2)\sigma^{(1)(2)}$ and $u(t)$ is the unit step function such that $u(t) = 1$ for $t > 0$ and $u(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$. Let us write Dirac's equation in the form

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = H\psi, \tag{50}$$

where

$$H = \gamma^{(0)}[-i\gamma^{(j)}\partial_j + m] \tag{51}$$

is the free Dirac Hamiltonian. The nonlocal Dirac equation can thus be written as

$$i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} = H\Psi + iu(t)\left[k\Psi + iHk\int_0^t \Psi(t', \mathbf{x})dt'\right] \tag{52}$$

for the special class of uniformly rotating observers that are fixed in space. A more complicated form of the nonlocal equation emerges for linearly accelerated observers discussed in the next section.

V. LINEARLY ACCELERATED OBSERVERS

Let us suppose that the fundamental inertial observers, at rest for $-\infty < t < 0$, start from rest at $t = \tau = 0$ and accelerate along the z axis with acceleration $g(\tau) \geq 0$. Thus each such observer carries a tetrad frame for $t \geq 0$ given by

$$\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(0)} = (C, 0, 0, S), \qquad \lambda^{\mu}{}_{(1)} = (0, 1, 0, 0), \tag{53}$$

$$\lambda^{\mu}{}_{(2)} = (0, 0, 1, 0), \qquad \lambda^{\mu}{}_{(3)} = (S, 0, 0, C). \tag{54}$$

Here $C = \cosh\theta$, $S = \sinh\theta$ and

$$\theta = \int_0^{\tau} g(\tau')d\tau'. \tag{55}$$

It follows from Eqs. (26) and (27) that

$$k = -\frac{i}{2}g(\tau)\sigma^{(0)(3)}, \tag{56}$$

$$\Lambda = \cosh\frac{\theta}{2} + i\sinh\frac{\theta}{2}\,\sigma^{(0)(3)}, \tag{57}$$

since in this case Λ_0 is the identity matrix. It is shown in Appendix A that $\tilde{r}(\tau, \tau') = -k(\tau')$ in this case. Thus Eq. (47) may be written as

$$\psi(\tau) = \Psi(\tau) - u(\tau)\int_0^{\tau} k(\tau')\Psi(\tau')d\tau'. \tag{58}$$

One can use these results to study the extent of, and the influence of nonlocality on , the spin-acceleration coupling for a Dirac particle with $m > 0$. This issue has a long history and requires a detailed investigation, which is beyond the scope of this paper [28]-[30].

To simplify matters, we assume that $g(\tau)$ vanishes except in the interval $(0, \tau_f)$, where it is a constant g_0. That is,

$$g(\tau) = g_0[u(\tau) - u(\tau - \tau_f)]. \tag{59}$$

This means that the class of accelerated observers undergoes hyperbolic motion from $t = 0$ to t_f; then, for $t > t_f$ the observers move uniformly with speed β_f. Thus for $0 \leq t \leq t_f$, $(0, x_0, y_0, z_0) \mapsto (t, x, y, z)$ along the worldline of an observer such that $x = x_0$, $y = y_0$,

$$z = z_0 + \frac{1}{g_0}(-1 + \cosh g_0\tau), \quad t = \frac{1}{g_0}\sinh g_0\tau. \tag{60}$$

Hyperbolic motion ends at t_f such that $g_0 t_f = \sinh g_0\tau_f$ and $z_f = z_0 + (-1 + \cosh g_0\tau_f)/g_0$; for $t > t_f$, the motion is uniform with speed $\beta_f = \tanh g_0\tau_f$.

It proves useful to define $\zeta(t)$ by

$$\zeta(t) = \sqrt{t^2 + \frac{1}{g_0^2}} \tag{61}$$

such that during hyperbolic motion $z - \zeta$ is a constant; that is, $z = z_0 - g_0^{-1} + \zeta(t)$. Then Eq. (58) can be expressed as

$$\psi(t, x, y, z) = \Psi(t, x, y, z) - \frac{i}{2} u(t) \sigma^{(0)(3)} \mathcal{I}, \tag{62}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_0^t \Psi(t', x, y, z - \zeta + \zeta') \frac{\mathcal{U}(t')}{\zeta'} dt'. \tag{63}$$

Here $\zeta' = \zeta(t')$, $z(t') = z - \zeta + \zeta'$ and

$$\mathcal{U}(t) = u(t) - u(t - t_f). \tag{64}$$

Equation (62) is in a form that is suitable for the derivation of the nonlocal Dirac equation in this case. That is, applying the Dirac operator to Eq. (62) results in

$$[i\gamma^{(\alpha)}\partial_\alpha - m]\Psi = \Delta, \tag{65}$$

where Δ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta = &-\frac{i}{2}\frac{\mathcal{U}}{\zeta}\gamma^{(3)}\Psi - \frac{i}{2} m u \sigma^{(0)(3)} \mathcal{I} - \frac{i}{2} u \left[\gamma^{(0)} - \frac{t}{\zeta}\gamma^{(3)}\right] \mathcal{I}_3 \\ &- \frac{1}{2} u \gamma^{(0)} \sigma^{(3)(i)} \mathcal{I}_i. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Here

$$\mathcal{I}_i = \int_0^t \Psi_{,i}(t', x, y, z - \zeta + \zeta') \frac{\mathcal{U}(t')}{\zeta'} dt' \tag{67}$$

and $\Psi_{,i} = \partial_i \Psi$.

It is important to note that as $g_0 \to 0$, $\zeta \to \infty$ and $\Delta \to 0$. Moreover, for $t > t_f$, Δ is in general nonzero; therefore, Dirac's equation is in general nonlocal for observers that are in uniform motion but have a history of past acceleration.

VI. DISCUSSION

The nonlocal determination of Dirac spinors by accelerated observers has been explored in this paper and the effect of nonlocality on the phenomenon of spin-rotation coupling for a Dirac particle has been calculated. The Lorentz-invariant nonlocal Dirac equation has been discussed and explicitly worked out for certain special classes of accelerated systems. It has been shown that, as a result of the memory of past acceleration, nonlocality in general persists even after the observer's acceleration has been turned off.

It is essential that a proper nonlocal extension of the standard relativity theory of accelerated systems be consistent with the quantum theory [5, 31]. This appears to be the case for the motion of a *free* Dirac particle from the standpoint of an accelerated observer in Minkowski spacetime considered in this paper. It is necessary to develop the theory further in order to take interactions into account; however, this remains a task for the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Friedrich Hehl for valuable discussions.

APPENDIX A: RESOLVENT KERNEL

The resolvent kernel r can in general be calculated using a certain sum of iterated kernels [27]; in particular

$$r(\tau,\tau')=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n k_n(\tau,\tau'), \tag{A1}$$

where

$$k_1(\tau,\tau')=k(\tau') \tag{A2}$$

and

$$k_{n+1}(\tau,\tau')=\int_{\tau'}^{\tau}k(t)k_n(t,\tau')dt \tag{A3}$$

for $n=1,2,3,\dots$. The derivation and properties of resolvent kernels r and $\tilde{r}$ are described in detail in Appendix A of Ref. [27].

Let us first suppose that $k(\tau)$ is a constant matrix as in the case of uniformly rotating observers. Then it is possible to show that $\tilde{r}(\tau,\tau')$ is constant as well. It is given explicitly by

$$\tilde{r}=-\Lambda^{-1}(\tau_0)k\Lambda(\tau_0), \tag{A4}$$

as shown in detail in Appendix C of Ref. [27]. For the special class of rotating observers in Sec. IV, $\Lambda(\tau_0)=1$ for $\tau_0=0$ and hence $\tilde{r}=-k$.

We now turn to the case of linear acceleration in Sec. V, where

$$k(\tau') = -\frac{i}{2}g(\tau')\sigma^{(0)(3)}. \tag{A5}$$

We find from Eqs. (A2) and (A3) that

$$k_{n+1}(\tau, \tau') = \frac{\delta^n}{2^{n+1}n!}g(\tau')M_n, \tag{A6}$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and δ is defined by

$$\delta = \theta(\tau) - \theta(\tau'). \tag{A7}$$

Here M_n is a constant matrix given by

$$M_{2n} = -i\sigma^{(0)(3)}, \quad M_{2n+1} = 1. \tag{A8}$$

It follows from Eq. (A1) that

$$r(\tau, \tau') = \frac{1}{2}g(\tau')\left[\sinh\frac{\delta}{2} + i\cosh\frac{\delta}{2}\,\sigma^{(0)(3)}\right], \tag{A9}$$

where δ is given by Eq. (A7). To find $\tilde{r}(\tau, \tau')$, we recall that

$$\Lambda(\tau) = \cosh\frac{\theta(\tau)}{2} + i\sinh\frac{\theta(\tau)}{2}\,\sigma^{(0)(3)}, \tag{A10}$$

$$\Lambda^{-1}(\tau) = \cosh\frac{\theta(\tau)}{2} - i\sinh\frac{\theta(\tau)}{2}\,\sigma^{(0)(3)}. \tag{A11}$$

Calculating $\tilde{r}$ on the basis of its definition given in Eq. (48), we find using Eqs. (A9)-(A11) that $\tilde{r}(\tau, \tau') = -k(\tau')$ in this case as well and hence

$$\tilde{r}(\tau, \tau') = \frac{i}{2}g(\tau')\sigma^{(0)(3)}, \tag{A12}$$

which leads to Eq. (58).

---

[1] B. Mashhoon, Phys. Lett. A **143**, 176 (1990); **145**, 147 (1990).

[2] H. Minkowski, in *The Principle of Relativity*, by H.A. Lorentz, A. Einstein, H. Minkowski and H. Weyl (Dover, New York, 1952).

[3] B. Mashhoon, in *Relativity in Rotating Frames*, edited by G. Rizzi and M.L. Ruggiero (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004), pp. 43-55.

[4] H. Weyl, *Space-Time-Matter* (Dover, New York, 1952), pp. 176-177.

[5] B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 062103 (2004); **72**, 052105 (2005).

[6] B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 4498 (1993); in *Cosmology and Gravitation*, edited by M. Novello (Editions Frontières, Gif-sur-Yvette, 1994), pp. 245-295; U. Muench, F.W. Hehl and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Lett. A **271**, 8 (2000).

[7] N. Bohr and L. Rosenfeld, K. Dan. Vindensk. Selsk. Mat. Fys. Medd. **12**, No. 8, (1993); translated in *Quantum Theory and Measurement*, edited by J.A. Wheeler and W. H. Zurek (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1983); Phys. Rev. **78**, 794 (1950).

[8] V. Volterra, *Theory of Functionals and of Integral and Integro-Differential Equations* (Dover, New York, 1959); F.G. Tricomi, *Integral Equations* (Interscience, New York, 1957).

[9] C. Chicone and B. Mashhoon, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **11**, 309 (2002); C. Chicone and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Lett. A **298**, 229 (2002).

[10] F.W. Hehl and Y.N. Obukhov, *Foundations of Classical Electrodynamics* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003).

[11] B. Mashhoon, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **16**, 57 (2007)

[12] J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964).

[13] T.C. Chapman and D.J. Leiter, Am. J. Phys. **44**, 858 (1976).

[14] F.W. Hehl and W.-T. Ni, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 2045 (1990).

[15] Y.Q. Cai and G. Papini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1259 (1991); **68**, 3811 (1992).

[16] L. Ryder, J. Phys. A **31**, 2465 (1998).

[17] B. Mashhoon, Lect. Notes Phys. **702**, 112 (2006).

[18] B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2639 (1988); **68**, 3812 (1992); Gen. Rel. Grav. **31**, 681 (1999); Class. Quantum Grav. **17**, 2399 (2000).

[19] L.H. Ryder and B. Mashhoon, in *Proc. Ninth Marcel Grossmann Meeting*, edited by V.G. Gurzadyan, R.T. Jantzen and R. Ruffini (World Scientific, Singapore, 2002), pp. 486-497.

[20] G. Papini, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 077901 (2002); G. Papini and G. Lambiase, Phys. Lett. A **294**, 175 (2002); G. Lambiase and G. Papini, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 097901 (2004); D. Singh, N. Mobed and G. Papini, J. Phys. A **37**, 8329 (2004); Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 041101 (2006).

[21] J. Luo, Y.X. Nie, Y.Z. Zhang and Z.B. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 042005 (2002); Z.B. Zhou, J. Luo, Q. Yan, Z.G. Wu, Y.Z. Zhang and Y.X. Nie, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 022002 (2002).

[22] J.Q. Shen, Gen. Rel. Grav. **34**, 1423 (2002); J.Q. Shen, H.Y. Zhu, S.L. Shi and J. Li, Phys.

Scr. **65**, 465 (2002); J.Q. Shen and S.L. He, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 195421 (2003); J.Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 067501 (2004).

[23] B. Mashhoon, R. Neutze, M. Hannam and G.E. Stedman, Phys. Lett. A **249**, 161 (1998); J.D. Anderson and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Lett. A **315**, 199 (2003); B. Mashhoon and H. Kaiser, Physica B, **385-386**, 1381 (2006).

[24] I. Damiao Soares and J. Tiomno, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 2808 (1996).

[25] C. Kiefer and C. Weber, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **14**, 253 (2005).

[26] J. Ramos and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 084003 (2006).

[27] B. Mashhoon, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **12**, 586 (2003).

[28] D. Bini, C. Cherubini and B. Mashhoon, Class. Quantum Grav. **21**, 3893 (2004).

[29] Y.N. Obukhov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 192 (2001); Fortschr. Phys. **50**, 711 (2002); N. Nicolaevici, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 068902 (2002); Y.N. Obukhov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 068903 (2002).

[30] A.J. Silenko and O.V. Teryaev, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 064016 (2005).

[31] D. Buchholz, J. Mund and S.J. Summers, Class. Quantum Grav. **19**, 6417 (2002).